AMENDMENTS TO THE CLAIMS

1. (Previously Presented) A method for processing poultry, comprising the steps of:

supplying live poultry;

stunning the live poultry in a stunning device;

placing the stunned poultry into product carriers advanced along a conveyor;

killing the stunned poultry; and

removing the feathers from the dead poultry in a plucking device, device;

cooling the dead poultry in a cooling device; and

further comprising the exposure of exposing the poultry, downstream of the plucking

device, dead poultry to an electrical stimulation for at least a first stimulation period downstream

of the plucking device and upstream of a cooling device.

2. (Original) The method of claim 1, wherein an electric voltage is applied across

the carcass of the poultry, or at least across a part thereof, by the carcass being dragged by the

head through a bath connected to a power source and containing an aqueous solution, the legs of

the carcass being earthed via the product carrier.

3. (Original) The method of claim 1, wherein an electric voltage is applied across

the carcass of the poultry, or at least across a part thereof, electrical contact occurring at the

location of the breast of the carcass.

Amendment dated December 6, 2006

Reply to Office Action of November 6, 2006

Docket No.: 1063-0107PUS1

Page 3 of 13

4. (Original) The method of claim 3, wherein the carcass is led by the breast over an

electrode connected to a power source.

5. (Original) The method of claim 3, wherein the carcass is led by the breast over an

electrically conductive rail connected to a power source.

6. (Original) The method of claim 3, wherein the legs of the carcass are earthed via

the product carrier.

7. (Original) The method of claim 5, comprising the application of a force to the

carcass in the direction of the rail.

8. (Original) The method of claim 7, wherein the carcass, at least whilst it is being

led along the rail, has an oblique position substantially at right angles to its direction of motion.

9. (Original) The method of claim 1, comprising at least a first, zero-voltage rest

period after the first stimulation period and a second stimulation period after the first rest period,

during which second stimulation period the poultry is exposed to an electrical stimulation in

which an electric voltage is applied across the carcass of the poultry, or at least across a part

thereof.

Birch, Stewart, Kolasch & Birch, LLP PCL/cl

Application No.: 10/758,926 Docket No.: 1063-0107PUS1

Amendment dated December 6, 2006

Reply to Office Action of November 6, 2006

Page 4 of 13

10. (Original) The method of claim 9, wherein the carcass, during the first

stimulation period and the second stimulation period, is exposed to a pulsating alternating

voltage.

11. (Original) The method of claim 9, wherein the first stimulation period and the

second stimulation period have a duration of between 30 and 180 seconds.

12. (Original) The method of claim 11, wherein the first stimulation period and the

second stimulation period have a duration of between 60 and 120 seconds.

13. (Original) The method of claim 12, wherein the first stimulation period and the

second stimulation period have a duration of 90 seconds.

14. (Original) The method of claim 9, wherein the first rest period has a length of

between 15 and 45 seconds.

15. (Original) The method of claim 14, wherein the first rest period has a length of

30 seconds.

16. (Original) The method of claim 2, wherein the electric voltage lies within the

range 50 V to 250 V.

Amendment dated December 6, 2006

Reply to Office Action of November 6, 2006

Page 5 of 13

Docket No.: 1063-0107PUS1

17. (Original) The method of claim 16, wherein the electric voltage amounts to 100

V.

18. (Original) The method of claim 2, wherein the electric voltage has a frequency

within the range 10 Hz to 1 kHz.

19. (Original) The method of claim 18, wherein the electric voltage has a frequency

of 50 Hz.

20. (Original) The method of claim 2, wherein the voltage has a voltage pulse over a

pulse time of about 0.5 second and a zero-voltage period with a duration of about 1 second.

21. (Original) The method of claim 1, comprising the step of exposing the poultry to

a second electrical stimulation downstream of the plucking device.

22. (Original) The method of claim 21, wherein the second electrical stimulation

takes place 50 seconds post-mortem.

23. (Original) The method of claim 1, comprising the step of deboning the poultry

after at least the first stimulation period following the expiry of a waiting period.

Application No.: 10/758,926 Docket No.: 1063-0107PUS1

Amendment dated December 6, 2006 Reply to Office Action of November 6, 2006

Page 6 of 13

24. (Original) The method of claim 23, comprising the step of cooling the poultry,

after at least the first stimulation period, during the waiting period.

25. (Original) The method of claim 23, comprising the step of measuring elementary

parameters, related to the maturing process, during transport of the poultry along the conveyor.

26. (Original) The method of claim 25, further comprising the step of measuring the

progress of the maturing process during transport of the poultry along the conveyor.

27. (Original) The method of claim 25, characterized by the measurement of the

behaviour pattern of the pH-value and/or R-value of the poultry over the waiting period.

28. (Original) The method of claim 1, wherein a thin film of water is provided at the

place where the electric voltage is applied.

29. (Original) The method of claim 1, further comprising the step of controlling

process parameters, especially the time and duration of the execution of working steps in a

process of mechanized working of slaughtered animals, especially poultry, wherein the

tenderness of a specified meat portion is evaluated prior to a due processing step and, after this

evaluation, the important process parameters for the particular processing step are set to an

optimal value.

Birch, Stewart, Kolasch & Birch, LLP PCL/cl

Application No.: 10/758,926 Docket No.: 1063-0107PUS1

Page 7 of 13

Amendment dated December 6, 2006

Reply to Office Action of November 6, 2006

30. (Original) The method of claim 29, the slaughtered animals being birds, wherein

the breast meat is chosen as the meat portion to be evaluated.

31. (Original) The method of claim 29, wherein the evaluation is carried out prior to

and/or after expiry of the electrical stimulation.

32. (Original) The method of claim 29, wherein the evaluation is carried out either

prior to, or during, or after the deboning.

33. (Original) The method of claim 29, wherein the method is implemented either

prior to, or during, or after the cooling.

34. (Original) The method of claim 29, wherein, on the basis of the evaluation of the

meat portion, a measurement value is determined by means of a regression analysis and/or via a

neural network.

35. (Original) The method of claim 29, wherein the tenderness of a meat specimen is

determined by measuring the respective diffuse reflection coefficients of this specimen for

radiation with different specified wavelengths in the visible and near-infrared zone, by

comparing the measurement values thus obtained with those obtained from a similar

determination carried out on specimens having a known tenderness measure and by deriving

therefrom a variable which characterizes the tenderness in question.

Birch, Stewart, Kolasch & Birch, LLP PCL/Cl

Amendment dated December 6, 2006

Reply to Office Action of November 6, 2006

Docket No.: 1063-0107PUS1

Page 8 of 13

36. (Original) Method for evaluating the tenderness of a meat part of a slaughtered

animal, in particular poultry, wherein the meat part is subjected to a concentrated blast, of

predetermined duration and intensity, of a flowing medium, the evolution of the resultant surface

deformation in the meat being closely observed, the measurement values thus obtained are

compared with those obtained from a similar determination carried out on specimens of known

tenderness and, from this comparison, a variable is derived characterizing the tenderness in

question.

37. (Original) The method of claim 36, wherein air is used as the working medium.

38. (Original) The method of claim 35, wherein a definitive measurement variable,

representing tenderness, is obtained by combining a number of determinations comprising:

measuring the respective diffuse reflection coefficients of this specimen for radiation

with different specified wavelengths in the visible and near-infrared zone, by comparing the

measurement values thus obtained with those obtained from a similar determination carried out

on specimens having a known tenderness measure and by deriving therefrom a variable which

characterizes the tenderness in question,

with at least one determination comprising:

subjecting the meat part to a concentrated blast, of predetermined duration and intensity,

of a flowing medium, the evolution of the resultant surface deformation in the meat being closely

observed, the measurement values thus obtained are compared with those obtained from a similar

Amendment dated December 6, 2006

Reply to Office Action of November 6, 2006

Docket No.: 1063-0107PUS1

Page 9 of 13

determination carried out on specimens of known tenderness and, from this comparison, a

variable is derived characterizing the tenderness in question.

39. (Original) The method of claim 38, wherein measurement variables are regularly

determined of new meat specimens of known tenderness,

wherein the tenderness of a meat specimen is determined by measuring the respective

diffuse reflection coefficients of this specimen for radiation with different specified wavelengths

in the visible and near-infrared zone, by comparing the measurement values thus obtained with

those obtained from a similar determination carried out on specimens having a known tenderness

measure and by deriving therefrom a variable which characterizes the tenderness in question, and

wherein the meat part is subjected to a concentrated blast, of predetermined duration and

intensity, of a flowing medium, the evolution of the resultant surface deformation in the meat

being closely observed, the measurement values thus obtained are compared with those obtained

from a similar determination carried out on specimens of known tenderness and, from this

comparison, a variable is derived characterizing the tenderness in question,

and a databank is thus created in which the correlation is established between the results of the

determinations and the tenderness experienced in practice.

PCL/cl

Amendment dated December 6, 2006

Reply to Office Action of November 6, 2006

Docket No.: 1063-0107PUS1

Page 10 of 13

40. (Original) The method of claim 38, further comprising:

comparing the respective measurement results obtained from conducted evaluations with

those obtained from previously conducted determinations, and for delivering, on the basis

thereof, a measurement value representing the tenderness.

41. (Original) The method of claim 39, further comprising:

comparing the respective measurement results obtained from conducted evaluations with

those obtained from previously conducted determinations, and for delivering, on the basis

thereof, a measurement value representing the tenderness.

Claims 42-43 (Canceled)

Claim 44 (Canceled)